1	WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
3	650 CALIFORNIA STREET, 26 TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108-2615	
	T: (415) 981-7210 · F: (415) 391-6965	
4	MICHAEL A. KELLY (State Bar #71460)	
5	mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com RICHARD H. SCHOENBERGER (State B	ar #122190)
6	rschoenberger@walkuplawoffice.com MATTHEW D. DAVIS (State Bar #141986	,
7	mdavis@walkuplawoffice.com	
8	ASHCON MINOIEFAR (State Bar #34758 aminoiefar@walkuplawoffice.com	o3)
9	SHANIN SPECTOR (Pennsylvania State	Bar No. 40928)
10	shanin.specter@klinespecter.com ALEX VAN DYKE (CA State Bar No. 3403	379)
11	alex.vandyke@klinespecter.com KLINE & SPECTER, P.C.	
$_{12}$	1525 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102	
 13	Telephone: (215) 772-1000 Facsimile: (215) 772-1359	
$\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$	ATTORNEYS FOR ALL PLAINTIFFS	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
- O 1		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
16 17		ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
16 17 18	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR
16 17 18 19	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual;	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING
16 17 18	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual;	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR
16 17 18 19	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE
16 17 18 19 20	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN
16 17 18 19 20 21	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited liability company,	Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN PUBLICLY FILED PLEADINGS
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited liability company, Plaintiffs, v.	Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN PUBLICLY FILED PLEADINGS Date Filed: 03/14/2024
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited liability company,	Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN PUBLICLY FILED PLEADINGS Date Filed: 03/14/2024
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JANE ROE, an individual; MARY ROE, an individual; SUSAN ROE, an individual; JOHN ROE, an individual; BARBARA ROE, an individual; PHOENIX HOTEL SF, LLC, a California limited liability company; FUNKY FUN, LLC, a California limited liability company; and 2930 EL CAMINO, LLC, a California limited liability company, Plaintiffs, v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	Case No. 3:24-cv-01562-DMR PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO USE THE PSEUDONYM "BARBARA ROE" IN PUBLICLY FILED PLEADINGS Date Filed: 03/14/2024

Plaintiff submits this application with her Complaint and applies for an order granting her permission is use the pseudonym "BARBARA ROE" in all publicly filed pleadings on the ground that this is needed to protect her safety.

Plaintiff complains of and seeks redress to stop the gang-related drug dealing and related criminal activities that happen around her home in the Tenderloin district of San Francisco. Public disclosure of her name could expose her to physical retaliation by those involved in the illegal narcotics trade. Plaintiff agrees that her name and relevant information can be disclosed to defendant City and County of San Francisco, subject to an appropriate protective order.

A party may preserve his or her anonymity in judicial proceedings in special circumstances when the party's need for anonymity outweighs prejudice to the opposing party and the public's interest in knowing the party's identity. (*Does I thru XXIII v. Advanced Textile Corp.*, 214 F.3d 1058, 1068 (9th Cir. 2000).) Federal courts have allowed plaintiffs to use pseudonyms for various reasons, including when "identification creates a risk of retaliatory physical or mental harm." (*Id.*) When a pseudonym is sought to shield a party from retaliation, courts evaluate (1) the severity of the harm, (2) the reasonableness of the anonymous party's fears, and (3) the anonymous party's vulnerability to such retaliation. (*Ibid.*)

In this case, plaintiff alleges that her neighborhood has been treated as a "containment zone" for illegal narcotics activities, including drug sales made by gang members who resort to violence, including murder, to protect their markets. She seeks injunctive relief that would curb or stop these illegal activities. Plaintiff must pass by drug dealers, gang members and drug users when coming to or leaving her home. Thus, the public identification of plaintiff, *e.g.*, revealing her name or precise address in court filings, would put her at risk of being hurt or killed in retaliation by persons involved in the illegal narcotics trade in the Tenderloin. Plaintiff's fears in this regard are reasonable. Finally, plaintiff lives in the neighborhood and is thus vulnerable to such retaliation.

Case 4:24-cv-01562-JST Document 4 Filed 03/14/24 Page 3 of 3

1	The public's interest in knowing plaintiff's name is low. Any prejudice to		
$_2$	defendant City and County of San Francisco is eliminated by an appropriate		
3	protective order that will allow the plaintiff to reveal and disclose her true name and		
4	other identifying information, facts, and documents.		
5	Thus, good cause exists for the Court to grant this application.		
6	Respectfully submitted.		
7			
8	Dated: March 14, 2024 WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER		
9			
10	By: /S/ Matthew D. Davis		
11	MICHAEL A. KELLY		
12	RICHARD H. SCHOENBERGER MATTHEW D. DAVIS		
13	ASHCON MINOIEFAR Attorneys for ALL PLAINTIFFS		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			